



**KARNATAKA GOVERNMENT**  
**DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION**  
**Office of the Joint Director, Mid day Meal, K.G. Road, Bangalore-2**

No: M:3/AD/Evaluation-01/2011-12

Dated : 07-12-2011

**Addendum to the Short Term e-Tender Notification Dated : 29-11-2011**

Ref : 1) No: M:3/AD/Evaluation-01/2011-12

Dated 29-11-2011 for Scheme evaluation of Mid day Meal in Karnataka.

2) Pre Bid Meeting held on 07-11-2011 at 11.45 am in CPI's Office Meeting Hall, Bangalore-1.

Sl. No.	COLUMN "A" Conditions/Instructions as per Bidding Document	COLUMN "B" Changes made to the bidding document
1	<b>Sample Size :</b> <u>Page No.13 :-</u> 5% of covered schools..... which is 421 schools. 5% of 5,32,394 childrens i.e., 26620 ..... comes to 3 to 4 childrens.	<p>➤ Total No.of Beneficiaries: 65.12 lakhs, 1% of 65 lakhs is 65000</p> <p>➤ No.of Schools – 15 schools x 31 districts = 465 schools</p> <p>➤ No.of children – 465 x 10 = 4650</p> <p>30 schools in 34 educational district in each school 10 students must be counselled induvidually, and in that school all the beneficiaries are tobe mass counselled and that no is counted for 1% of total state beneficiaries no 65,000.</p>
2	<b>Time Frame/Sourcing Out:</b> <u>Page No.14 :-</u> The study is proposed to be outsourced ..... sixty days from date of signing the MOU by the agency.	<p>The field study should be completed within 45 days from the date of signing MOU.</p> <p>The Draft report should be submitted within 30 days form the date of complition of field study.</p> <p>The final report to be submitted within 15 days from the date of submission of draft report.</p> <p>Totally the study must be completed within 90 (Ninety) days from the date of signing the MOU by the agency.</p>
3	<b>The Mode of Payment would be:</b> <u>Page No.15 :-</u> 30% of the total agreed amount on completion..... 40% of the total agreed amount-on submission of the final report.	<p>The mode of payment would be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 30% of the total agreed amount will be paid as mobilization amount on signing of contract against Bank Guarantee to the equal amount valid for 120 days and this amount would be adjusted as payment towards field study reports.</li><li>• 30% of the total agreed amount – on completion of field study and submission of draft report against Bank Guarantee to the equal amount.</li><li>• 40% of the total agreed amount – on submission of final report.</li></ul>

4	<p><b>Schedule of Price Bid:</b>  Page 38 Form F-6 :- The price bid components are indicated as.....</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Consultancy services for design and management..... No. of batches)</li> <li>2. Consultancy services tax @ %</li> </ol>	<p><b>The Price Bid components are indicated as:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) <b>Total Remuneration</b></li> <li>b) <b>Total Out of Pocket Expenses</b></li> <li>c) <b>Service Tax @ % (on a+b)</b></li> </ol> <p><b>Total (a+b+c)</b></p>
5	<p>Evaluation:  Clause(10)I to (iv) of page No.6:-  Atwo stage .... Suitability of the transfer of knowledge program”</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Specific experience of the consultants related to the Assignment-25 Points.</li> <li>(ii) Adequacy of the proposed work plan and mehodology in responding to the TOR-30 Points.</li> <li>(iii) Qalifications and competence of the key professional staff for the Assignment-45 Points.</li> </ol>
6	<p>Delay Penalty::  Page No.15:-  If the final rport of the study ... the department will with hold the last instalments.</p>	<p>If the final report of the study is not submitted as per the agreed condition and within stipulated time, delay penalty of minimum 1% upto a maximum of 5%, per week of the value of contract will be charged.</p>
7	<p>Differentials &amp; proportions:  Page No.13:-  Analysis by 1) sex (2) Social class .....  Coastal region district/blocks</p>	<p>The survey should cover all the educational districts and all the taluks.</p>
8	<p>Turnover Criterion:  Clause(b) of page No.4:-  Only firms/consultants who have carried out the Third party consultancy works of similar Nature and the consultancy charge of the works executed in single work order should be more than Rs., 10 lakhs during any one of the last three years can apply.  And the firm should be in the field for more than 5 years. (Authenticated certificates of proof shall be enclosed for the above).</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Only firms / consultants who have carried out the Third party consultancy works of similar Nature</li> <li>(ii) the consultancy charge of the works executed in <b>single work order should be more than Rs.10 lakhs during any one of the last three years.</b></li> <li>(iii) And the firm should be in the field for more than 5 years.</li> <li>(iv) The consultant/firm should have a minimum overall average annual turnover of Rs.50 Lakhs in last 3 years (auditors certificate and balance sheet should be enclosed) (Authenticated certificates of proof shall be enclosed for the above)</li> </ol>

The other terms and conditions mentioned in the tender document doesn't change and remains as same.

(B.G.Nayak)  
**Joint Director (MDM),**  
**Bangalore.**

KARNATAKA GOVERNMENT



DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION  
Office of the Joint Director, Mid day Meal, K.G. Road, Bangalore-2

No: M:3/AD/Evaluation-01/2011-12  
2011

Dated : 07-12-

**IN CONTINUATION OF PRE-BID MEETING OF THE TENDER CALLED FOR SCHEME EVALUATION OF MID DAY MEALS UNDER CHAIRMANSHIP OF DIRECTOR (PRIMARY) HELD ON 07-12-2011 FOLLOWING CLARIFICATION IS ISSUED FOR THE QUERIES AND SUGGESTIONS SOUGHT BY VARIOUS FIRMS.**

✓ **Joint Venture :**

Representatives of STEM & Vidyaranya Education & Development Society referring Page 4, clause(a) & (b) sought clarification as to whether NGO's will be considered as Organisations & are they eligible to participate and if organization is not having any experience can they take Joint Venture or sub-Contract with other organization.

**Decision:**

It is clarified that Organisations who fulfill the qualification prescribed in the tender document are eligible to participate in the tender and Joint Venture / Sub-Contract is not acceptable.

✓ **Selection of Consultancy :**

Representative from M/s. STEM & Vishwachetan Samste, Bijapur requested that instead of having one consultant as the implementing agency of this evaluation assignment, if it could be region wise/district wise, it would be an effective evaluation thereby reducing the contract value.

**Decision:**

It is clarified that evaluation is to be done statewide.

✓ **No of copies of final report :**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu India Pvt. Ltd., sought clarification about no. of copies of final report to be submitted.

**Decision:**

It is clarified that 150 sets of hard copy(both in Kannada and English) and 02 sets of soft copy(both in Kannada and English) of final report has to be submitted.

✓ **Turnover criterion :**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu India Pvt. Ltd., referring to clause (b) of page No.4 asked if there is also a minimum annual turnover criterion for the firms/consultants as this can also ensure the bidding firms having the adequate capacities for carrying out the assignment.

**Decision:**

The consultant/firm should have a minimum overall average annual turnover of Rs.50 Lakhs in last 3 years (auditors certificate and balance sheet should be enclosed)

✓ **Evaluation :**

Representatives of M/s.Nielsen and M/s.Deloistte TTIP Ltd., referring to clause (10) (iv) of page No.6 requested to elaborate on the specific components that will have be evaluated under "Suitability of the transfer of knowledge program".

**Decision:**

It is clarified that the consultant should have ability to explain/convince any questions arising involving the programme (MID DAY MEAL PROGRAMME).

✓ **Deciding Award of Contract :**

Representative of M/s. Deloistte TTIP Ltd., referring to Page 6, clauses 11(a) to (d) sought clarification that the Request for Proposal does not specify relative weightages of Technical and Financial scores in the final evaluation (i.e.the formula for obtaining the composite score). They requested to specify the technical and financial weightages in the evaluation. And they also requested to declare the technical scores to all the bidders formally prior to opening of the financial proposals.

**Decision:**

It is clarified that the Technical proposal's scoring at least 75 points will be considered for opening of Financial proposal. Evaluation of Technical proposals will be done using the criteria mentioned in clause (10) (i) to (iv) in page 6. The lowest quote in the Financial proposal will be considered. It is also clarified that the technical scores will be uploaded in the official web site before opening of Financial Proposal.

✓ **Objectives of Evaluation :**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., sought clarification regarding Page 11 of 42 says " One of the main objectives of MDM is to improve their physical health by providing required nutrition through hot cooked meals along with nutritional tablets"

**Decision:**

It is clarified that anthropometric measurements like Height-for-age, weight -for age and BMI-for -age, and Haemoglobin tests to be conducted as part of this evaluation study, and Nutritional analysis of mid day meal samples need to be carried out at the school level will be made available atleast of 3 shoos in every taluk.

✓ **Expected Outcomes, outcome 2::**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., sought clarification regarding Page 11 of 42 says, To analyze all types of leakages in terms of food materials, gas, and funds in terms of purchase of contingency materials.

**Decision:**

It is clarified that at school level, any leakages in terms of food materials, gas and funds in terms of purchase of contingency materials and other any expenditure. Also examine any leakage in supply of food materials at KFCSC Godown or during Transportation.

✓ **Expected Out comes, Out come 3::**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., sought clarification regarding Page 11 of 42 says, The last point in Page 11, says “to analyse the time spent by the school teachers and headmasters during school hours and identify the interface with educational attainment of the children and curriculum development”

**Decision:**

It is clarified that study should reveal whether school teacher and headmasters are involved in MDM during school hours hampering the teaching hours? Evaluation study should reveal the realistic facts about the children’s learning attainments.

Should not go for any other study reports but evaluator must conduct test on their own and give the true results of the facts not the opinions.

✓ **Expected Out comes, Out come 4::**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., sought clarification regarding Page 11 of 42 says, The last point in Page 12, says “To conduct comparative study of Akshara Dasoha programme with Akshar Dasoha programme conducted by the leading NGO’s(for example Akshaya patra, Adhamya Chetana, Kannada Kasturi Kala Sngha etc) regarding Quantity\Quality of food, taste of food, nutritional value, cost of food preparation, and distribution.”

**Decision:**

It is clarified that 10 leading NGO’s have to be studied for the purpose of evaluation.

✓ **Suggested Study Design :**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to Page-12, of 42 of TOR is as follows: Schools: Atleast 30 schools in each districts(30\*33 educational districts)=990 schools.

However, page 13 of 42 says No., of schools=15 school\*31 district =465 schools.

**Decision:**

It is clarified that, schools: Atleast 30 schools in each educational districts, as well as equally in all taluks/blocks in the district.

And also cover all kitchen type (A1, A, B, C,..... ) in the taluk/block in the district.

And also cover equal no of school type -LPS/HPS/HS in the taluk/block in the district. Both Govt and Aided schools.

✓ **Suggested Study Design :**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to Page-13, of 42 of TOR is as follows: Total no of beneficiaries=65 lakhs, 1%=65,000 and it also mention "No of children=465 schools \* 10=4650 children. Please clarify the same.

**Decision:**

It is clarified that, 30 schools in 34 educational district in each school 10 students must be cancelled individually, and in that school all the beneficiaries are mass cancelled and that no is counted for 1% of total state beneficiaries no 65,000.

✓ **Under Differentials :**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to Page-13, of 42 of says, : Analysis by Sex, Social Class, North east Karnataka/other than North East Karnataka and School Criteria.

**Decision:**

It is clarified that, stratification should be done including all the districts in karnataka of the 4 divisions.

✓ **Under Secondary Analysis :**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to Page-13, of 42 of says overall attendance in school and headcount attendance.

**Decision:**

It is clarified that, Information can be obtained from the school records as well as by headcount in the schools, by Heads of Institutions with the seal & signature. Instructions will be issued accordingly.

✓ **Sample Size :**

Representative JSS Consultants requested to give sample test to be conducted at least in 30 school in each district.

Representative of M/s. Nielsen sought to clarify and confirm No. of Schools and No. of students to be covered.

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to page-13,

**Decision:**

It is clarified that the study covers

- Total No.of Beneficiaries: 65.12 lakhs, 1% of 65 lakhs is 65000
- No.of Schools – 15 schools x 31 districts = 465 schools
- No.of children – 465 x 10 = 4650

30 schools in 33 educational district in each school 10 students must be cancelled individually, and in that school all the beneficiaries are to be mass cancelled and that no is counted for 1% of total state beneficiaries no 65,000.

✓ **Secondary Analysis of Transition rate in sample schools:**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to Page-14 Overall Average Attendance in School requested to confirm that the “average attendance” for the year available as a calculated field in the school records and no tabulation/calculation will be required during the survey to arrive at that figure.

**Decision:**

It is clarified that Information can be obtained from the school records by Heads of Institutions with the seal & signature. Instructions will be issued accordingly.

✓ **Time Frame / Sourcing Out :**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring in Page-14 requested that since the field survey to be under taken spans across all the districts of the state with a large sample size a minimum 2 months is required for completing the survey with desired quality levels, 1 month for analysis and preparation of draft report and 1 month for submission of the final report totally 4 months timeline has to be given. And also sought clarification that whether timeline of 60 days is for completion of field survey or for the draft/final report.

Representative of JSS Consultants requested to increase time frame from 60 days to 90 days.

Representatives of Vishwa Chethana Samithi & STEM said that as the volume of the assignment is large it is difficult to complete work in 60 days time.

**Decision:**

It is clarified that, the timeline of 60 days is for completion of final report.

✓ **Submission of Bank Guarantee against release of amount :**

Representatives of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to Page-15 under Role of Department requested that since the successful bidder has to furnish a performance security in form of Bank guarantee equal to 5% of the contract value at the time of signing of the contract which will be released only on completion of assignment the bank guarantee against each payment be waived.

Representative of JSS Consultants sought clarification that they are giving Rs.1,00,000/- as EMD whether it is required to pay Bank Guarantee against release of amounts by the department.

**Decision:**

The Performance security in the form of Bank Guarantee is to be furnished only for 5% of the agreed amount which is very less than the releasing amount. The EMD will be returned to the successful consultant immediately after signing

contract and submitting Performance security in the form of Bank Guarantee. Hence this condition is kept unaltered.

✓ **Mode of Payment :**

Representatives of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., Nielsen & STEM referring to Page-15 requested that since the project requires extensive field study and most of the expenses will be incurred during the field survey which will need to be incurred by the selected firm. Therefore they requested that a mobilization advance be provided to the selected firm on signing of contract.

**Decision:**

The mode of payment would be:

- ❖ 30% of the total agreed amount will be paid as mobilization amount on signing of contract against Bank Guarantee to the equal amount valid for 120 days and this amount would be adjusted as payment towards field study reports. – on signing of contract against Bank Guarantee to the equal amount.
- ❖ 30% of the total agreed amount – on completion of field study and submission of draft report against Bank Guarantee to the equal amount.
- ❖ 40% of the total agreed amount – on submission of final report.

✓ **General Conditions of Contract :**

Representatives of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to Page-17 requested to include the clause in GCC that limits the liability of the consultant, which should be as “Notwithstanding anything contained in this proposal or the request for proposal (reference no & date) issued by (name of department) (the Client) towards (name of the assignment), the Client agrees that (name of consultant) (the Consultant) shall not be liable to the client, for any loss, claims, liabilities, damages, cost or expenses (Losses) of any nature whatsoever, in any way relating to or arising out of this Proposal/contract awarded pursuant to the Contract for an aggregate amount in excess of the fee actually paid for the services provided under this Proposal/Contract, except where such Losses are finally judicially determined to have arisen primarily from fraud or bad faith of the Consultant. In no event shall Contractor/Bidder, be liable for any consequential (including loss of profit and loss of data) special, indirect, incidental, punitive or exemplary loss, damage, or expense relating to or arising out of this proposal/RFP/Contract”.

**Decision:**

Conditions in Tender Document is clear and holds good.

✓ **Schedule of Price Bid:**

Representatives of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to Page-38 Form F-6 sought modification as follow: As there is no design and conduct of management development programs involved in this study



- (a) Total Remuneration
- (b) Total Out of Pocket Expenses
- (c) Service Tax @ 10.3%

And also requested to confirm the cost estimates of services as provided in page 39 is the breakdown of the summary provided in page 38. More specifically, please confirm that the summary in page 38 includes the Out of pocket expenses also.

**Decision:**

It is modified as follows:

Sl. No	Item	Amount in Rs. (in words and figures)
1	Total amount for the Consultancy services for evaluation of the scheme of providing mid day meals.	
2	Service Tax	
3	Total	

✓ **Role of the Evaluation Agency :**

Representative of JSS Consultants referring to Page-15 said that since the quantum of survey students is more it is difficult to share each department officials the data gathered during the study.

It is clarified that, Department in this context means only “Commissioner for Public Instruction” and not all department officials.

**(B.G.Nayak)**  
**Joint Director for Public Instruction (MDM),**  
**Bangalore.**